# New Resource Allocation Model Proposal 5/1/14

With the advent of community-supported status along with district-wide discussions about what student success is for our students, the District Committee on Budget and Finance (DCBF) has been exploring the need to revise our resource allocation model. In particular, there is a desire to move away from having primarily FTES-based allocations and to move toward having allocations that are based on what we need to serve our students. It is important to remember that having a new resource allocation model doesn't increase the amount of funds available to allocate; it just reprioritizes where those funds are allocated.

As with the last time we did a major revision to our allocation model, we started with the following values:

- ▶ The model must be fair
- Simple
- Predictable
- Stable
- Minimize internal conflict between colleges & with district office
- Timely in order for development of plans at colleges
- Efficient to administer
- Recognize cost pressures collective bargaining results, inflation, etc.
- ▶ Have a multi-year application not change formula each year
- Be flexible including with the movement to basic aid

- Consistent
- Accommodate good and bad years
- Address inequities of equalization and access
- Promote a sensible use of public funding – no "spend it or you lose it"
- Recognize local community needs and geographic areas – differences between the needs of the students at each college
- Uses quantitative, verifiable factors need for good data
- Protects the integrity of base funding no sudden or major changes
- In synch with our mission and goals

DCBF started with looking at what is the minimum staffing allocation needed to "open the doors." We looked at the 3 colleges and determined what positions and functions the colleges have in common. Based on that, we came up with a base amount for each college for administrative and support staffing. We then looked at FTES, load and FT:PT ratios to allocate funds for full-time and adjunct teaching faculty as well as for new full-time faculty to even the ratios between the colleges. Next we looked at non-teaching faculty and came up with allocations for library and learning resources, counseling, released and reassigned time, both for full and part-time faculty. Finally, because FTES are a measure of workload, we allocated the remaining funds based on the colleges' relative share of district FTES.

It should be noted that the staffing allocations are made at actual cost. A college was not penalized for having more senior staff. Each site's allocation will be adjusted annually for movement on step and column as well as for any negotiated compensation increases. Of course, just because we allocate funds

to a site based on these determinations does not mean that the site has to fill a position or be structured in a certain way. How the colleges spend their allocation is a college decision. Similarly, while we may assume a certain load or FTES for each college, the college can choose to maintain whatever load or FTES they wish (as much as it is within their control!).

It is also important to note that we have made some preliminary recommendations as to the FTES goals, load for teaching faculty, percentage of full-time faculty, load for counselors, etc. These factors determine much of the funding allocation and can be changed as the District determines appropriate. What is used right now mostly sustains the status quo while attempting to even out the disparities between the campuses.

This revision of the allocation model does not address changes to the District Office, Facilities or Central Services at this time. These allocations stay the same as in the existing model. The District Office receives 15% of the colleges' allocations and grows and shrinks along with the colleges. Facilities receives 6.8% of the colleges' allocations and \$3.02 per square foot and its allocations will change with both changes in the colleges' allocations and the growth in new buildings. Central Services is where most of the fixed costs for the District go, and the allocations are based on our best estimates of the actual costs.

Finally, DCBF discussed implementing this new model over time, which promotes the values of stability and predictability. We propose adjusting the allocations to each college by 1/3 of the difference between the old model and the new model in 2015/16, 2/3 of the difference in 2016/17 and implement the model in its entirety in 2017/18. As we have done with the existing model, the new model will be reviewed by DCBG annually.

The following is a simulation of what would have happened if we used the 13/14 staffing and allocations. The simulation will be updated when we have 14/15 budgets, and again for 15/16, when we finally begin the implementation. As such, please be aware that the final numbers will certainly change, especially as the colleges hire additional faculty and staff over the next year, and as we have additional resources.

## Discussion/Draft Proposal for New Resource Allocation 4/14/2014

	Canada	CSM		Skyline	Г	Subtotal
2013/14 Allocation Current Model	\$ 16,492,570	\$ 27,805,596	\$	29,088,386	\$	73,386,552
Staffing Allocation						
Admin & Non-instructional	\$ 5,394,374	\$ 5,675,601	\$	5,338,874	Ś	16,408,849
FT Faculty Instructional	\$ 4,584,148	\$ 8,907,552	10.00	7,804,932		21,296,632
1310	\$ 4,002,084	\$ 6,523,556	\$	8,009,300		18,534,940
New FT Faculty Instructional	\$ 110,046	\$	\$	585,812	\$	695,858
Release/Reassigned Time	\$ 702,041	\$ 666,733	\$	859,737	\$	2,228,511
Library/Learning Resource Center	\$ 244,186	\$ 141,713	\$	267,619	\$	653,518
CTE Programs	\$ 43,994	\$ 27,782	\$	-	\$	71,777
Counseling FT	\$ 379,884	\$ 1,086,498	\$	833,562	\$	2,299,944
Counseling PT	\$ 79,580	\$ 260,690	\$	326,587	\$	666,857
Staffing Allocations 86%	\$ 15,540,337	\$ 23,290,125	\$	24,026,423	\$	62,856,885
Remaining available to allocate 14%					Ś	10,529,667
FTES Goals	4,300	7,400		8,000	ľ	.,,
Percentage	22%	38%		41%		
FTES-Based allocation	\$ 2,298,354	\$ 3,955,306	\$	4,276,007	\$	10,529,667
Total Revised Allocation	\$ 17,838,691	\$ 27,245,431	\$	28,302,430	\$	73,386,552
Change from Current Allocation	\$ 1,346,121	\$ (560,165)	\$	(785,956)	\$	(0)

		Dist	rict Office		Facilities	Cer	ntral Services	Grand Total
2013/14 Allocation Current Model	\$		11,071,753	\$	10,008,864	\$	31,935,533	\$126,402,701
General Administration	\$		3,548,079					
Human Resources	\$		1,356,457					
ITS	\$		4,408,604	Н				
Chancellor/PIO/Ed Svcs & Planning	\$		1,758,613					
Facilities/Public Safety General				\$	1,914,570			
Facilities/Operations Skyline				\$	2,827,619			
Facilities/Operations Cañada				\$	1,882,178			
Facilities/Operations CSM				\$	3,384,498	i.		
Retiree Benefits						\$	8,075,236	
Utilities				17		\$	5,068,914	
Personnel Commitments/Prof Dev						\$	7,842,441	
Telephone/Hdwr/Soft/Maint						\$	1,923,119	
Other						\$	9,025,822	
Percentage of College Allocations:	1		15.09%	Н	6.82%			
\$ per Sq Ft:				\$	3.09			0.
Total Sq Ft:					1,620,579			
Total Revised Allocation	\$		11,071,753	\$	10,008,864	\$	31,935,533	\$126,402,701
Change from Current Allocation	\$			\$	405.	\$		\$ -

PRESIDENT (PRESIDENT (ASA PRESIDENT				
PRESIDENT/RESEARCH/MARKETING	FTE	Canada	CSM	Skyline
<u>President's Office</u> President	1.00	246.462	220 742	
Admin Asst	1.00 1.00	216,162	230,712	231,072
Research & Planning	1.00	75,418	96,537	78,522
Plan,Research,Inst. Eff., Dean	1.00	113,088	144,960	119,256
Planning & Research Analyst	1.00	73,542	86,580	77,886
Marketing/Public Relations/Outreach	-1.00	73,342	00,550	77,886
Dir Marketing	1.00	92,994	99,738	100,116
Web Programmer Analyst	1.00	70,236	73,044	72,294
			Ť	
<u>OPERATIONS</u>				i
Business Services				
Vice President, Admin Services	1.00	133,656	133,656	133,656
Senior Accounting Coordinator	1.00	72,355	73,615	71,080
Budget/Operations Financial Analyst	1.00	83.453	05.000	
Payroll	1.00	82,452	85,923	89,394
Campus Cert. Payroll Clerk	1.00	60,624	50 507	CF 460
Mail Services	1.00	60,624	59,597	65,468
Shipping/Receiving Clerk	1.00	47,504	47,020	47,988
Mail Clerk	0.25	12,089	12,089	12,089
Theater	0.20	12,003	12,003	12,005
Theatre Events Manager	0.80	81,444	83,505	74,892
-		22,	,	, ,,552
STUDENT SERVICES				
Admissions & Records and Enrollment Services				
Dean	1.00	145,500	146,040	144,960
Registrar	1.00	98,976	98,976	93,073
Program Services Coordinator, Degree Audit	1.00	105,766	109,896	100,141
Assessment				
Program Services Coordinator	1.67	62,884	63,693	61,500
<u>Counseling</u> Dean	1.00	440.550	450.000	
Division Assistant	1.00 1.00	148,560 58,716	159,360	128,508
Financial Aid	1.00	36,710	60,037	58,479
Director of Financial Aid Sycs	1.00	108,818	102,315	95,502
Financial Aid Technician	1.00	71,516	67,828	64,259
Fin Aid Reconciliation Specialist	0.33	23,572	23,643	23,572
Student Activities	ĺ	, i	,_,	
Coord Of Student Activities	1.00	60,508	73,044	75,418
<u>Transfer Center</u>				, i
Program Services Coordinator	1.00	78,522	69,643	62,940
Vice President				
Vice President, Student Svcs.	1.00	171,696	171,696	159,354
Administrative Assistant	1.00	75,418	75,418	73,044
INSTRUCTION			;	
INSTRUCTION  Dean's Office/VP's Office/Administration				l
Vice President, Instruction	1.00	164,544	176 730	171 000
Administrative Assistant	1.00	73,044	176,729 77,244	171,696 60,012
Curr & Instr Systems Spec	1.00	100,553	100,553	100,553
Administrative Secretary	1.00	49,248	69,391	57,120
Instruction		13,240	00,001	37,120
Deans	4.00	613,440	638,304	606,624
Division Assistants	3.00	185,066	178,878	182,268
Instructional Aides	7.00	383,390	429,954	377,454
Library/Learning Center			·	
Director	1.00	84,531	84,531	84,531
Staffing Allocation				
Staffing Allocation	44.05	3,995,832	4,204,149	3,954,722
Benefits		1,398,541	1,471,452	1,384,153
Total		E 20/ 27/	E 67E 604	E 220 074
1 otal	Į.	5,394,374	5,675,601	5,338,874

### Part-Time Faculty

### Scenario #3

		Convert to			FT FTEF	PT FTEF	Ave	rage Cost/PT		
2013/14 Budget	FTES Goal	WSCH	Load Goal	FTEF Needed	Available	Needed		FTEF	1	310 Budget
Canada	4,300	64,500	525	122.86	54.32	68.54	\$	58,393	\$	4,002,084
CSM	7,400	111,000	525	211.43	98.75	112.68	\$	57,895	\$	6,523,556
Skyline	8,000	120,000	525	228.57	90.61	137.96	\$	58,055	\$	8,009,300
District	19,700	295,500	525	562.86	243.68	319.18	\$	58,071	\$	18,534,940

### Full-Time Faculty

				FT FTEF	FT FTEF		Average			R	eduction to
2013/14	FT/PT Ratio	Ratio Goal	FT FTEF Goal	Available	Needed	Co	st/FT FTEF	F1	Allocation	1	310 Budget
Canada	79%	88%	57.38	54.32	3.06	\$	94,335	\$	288,831	\$	(178,785)
CSM	88%	88%	98.75	98.75	-	\$	94,335	\$	-	\$	
Skyline	66%	88%	106.76	90.61	16.15	\$	94,335	\$	1,523,206	\$	(937,394)
District	76%	88%	262.89	243.68	19.21	\$	94,335	\$	1,812,037	.\$	(1,116,179)

# Non-Instructional FT Faculty

CSM

Canada

Academic Senate Districtwide Released/Reassigned Time Academic Senate Accreditation Assessment Curriculum

Library/Learning Resource Center

Mandated Director/Coordinator CTE Programs

Categorical Programs Other Total

0.40		0.40	0.20	0.20	2.80			0.70		8.09	12.79
0.50	0.40	0.40	0.20	0.20	1.80		1.49	0.70	0.20	3.68	9.57
0.47		0.40	0.20	0.20	2.00		0.50	0.20	1.00	3.76	8.73

# Counseling Option #4 with Counseling FTEF per Student Ratio Using All Funds

									141	T. 1. 1. T.		
	Student/Coun			Actual # of FI	Actual # of FI	Ξ.			otal PI	lotal PI		
	seling FTEF	Unduplicated	Counselors	Counselors	Counselors	Counselors	Cost per	Total PT \$	Total PT \$ Budget Fund	<b>Budget Fund</b>	Add'I	\$ "Freed
Counseling	Ratio	Students	Needed	Fund 1	Fund 3/6	Needed	FTEF	Needed	1	3/6	Allocation	"qU
Canada	720.71	9,196	12.76	3.40	3.12	6.24	65,117	6.24 \$ 65,117 \$ 406,307 \$	\$ 17,000 \$	\$ 332,621 \$	\$ 56,685	٠ \$
CSM	720.71	13,284	18.43	89.8	3.32	6.43	62,763 \$	\$ 403,683	- \$	\$ 162,303	\$ 241,380	\$
Skyline	720.71	14,905	20.68	7.12	6.01	7.55	62,211	62,211 \$ 469,759	\$ 302,395	\$ 460,777	- \$	\$ 293,413
District	720.71	37,385	51.87	19.20	12.45	20.22	63,283	63,283   \$1,279,748   \$	\$ 319,395	\$	955,701 \$ 298,065 \$ 293,413	\$ 293,413

Ratio is based on 13/14 counseling FTEF both full and part time in all funds to unduplicated student count for 2012/13.

Student headcount is unduplicated count for 2012-13 (Summer, Fall & Spring).

Actual # of FT Counselors is number assigned to counseling (as opposed to teaching or coordinating) in all funds for 2014/15 as of April 1. Cost per PT FTEF based on 2013/14 actual costs as of 4/09/14 divided by actual hours paid.

Total PT budget is 2013/14 estimated total expenditures for 1451 for all funds as of 4/9/14.

				Student/
			Unduplicated	Counseling
Counseling	FT FTEF	PT FTEF	Students	FTEF Ratio
Canada	6.52	5.37	9,196	773.48
CSM	12.00	2.59	13,284	910.74
Skyline	13.13	12.27	14,905	586.87
District	31.65	20.22	37,385	720.71