Evaluation of the Participatory Governance Process and the College Benchmarks

4/16/2014

Overview

The annual evaluation of the participatory governance structure at the college is described in the Participatory Governance Manual. This review takes place during the end of the spring semester each year as the key governance groups are winding down their planning and program review activities and take the time to reflect on what worked and what can be improved.

Process

The process for the evaluation of participatory governance is as follows (Standard IB):

"In order to assure institutional improvement of the teaching and learning environment, the participatory governance and decision-making processes (planning, program review) will be evaluated regularly. The Planning & Budgeting Council and the College President will share primary responsibility for assuring this evaluation is completed. These evaluations are set up to ensure the participatory governance structure provides for:

- a collegial process that sets values, goals, and priorities;
- evaluation and planning rely on high quality research and analysis on external and internal conditions; and
- educational planning is integrated with resource planning and distribution to achieve student learning outcomes."

I. Timeline for the Evaluation Process

Group: IPC, APC, SSPC, and PBC

Timeline and Task:

- April 2014
 - o Respond to the College Benchmark Evaluation Questions II
 - Respond and update the Specific Recommendations from the Key Participatory
 Governance Groups III
 - Campus-wide survey asking employees to evaluate governance structures and processes
- May 21—Report to PBC

Adopted by PBC 4/16/2014 Page **1** of **5**

II. College Benchmark (Standard IB2 and IB3) Evaluation Questions

- 1. Are the benchmarks we set to achieve as a college appropriate?
- 2. How well does the College implement its goals? Suggestions?

In general the ASGC feels that the college benchmarks are appropriate. Benchmarks 4, 5 and 5a should be adjusted in synchrony with enrollment. As enrollment decreases, the total numbers of transfers is also expected to decrease if our success is this area is constant. We are concerned about items 15-17 (concerning pre-transfer statistics). We would like additional research and discussion about these statistics since the current benchmarks seem unlikely to be achieved in any reasonable timeframe.

III. Specific Recommendations from the Key Participatory Governance Groups

Please update the status on your recommendations by checking the appropriate box. These recommendations were from the "Annual Review of Participatory Governance Spring 2013"

From Planning and Budgeting Council (PBC)

	Completed	Revised	Decided	Did not
			not to do	complete
1. Start meetings on time – look at starting at 2:10 as				
faculty have classes				
2. Purchase new tables for 2-10				
3. Set goals for the 2013-14 academic year – maybe at				
the last meeting of the year				
4. Review annual plan/program review process				
5. Revise the hiring process "discussion" time to add a				
priority setting process, change group leaders, and				
determine best way to provide information to the				
president				

From Instruction Planning Council (IPC)

	Completed	Revised	Decided	Did not
			not to do	complete
Continue to meet regularly and have additional				
meetings as necessary				
2. Use taskforce committees, as needed.				
3. Change timeline for hiring – move earlier.				

Adopted by PBC 4/16/2014 Page **2** of **5**

From Student Services Planning Council (SSPC)

		Completed	Revised	Decided	Did not
				not to do	complete
1.	Share more information among the groups – e.g.				
	have IPC reports at SSPC				
2.	APC needs to distribute agendas and minutes if				
	they are not already doing so				
3.	All Participatory Governance Group Agendas and				
	Minutes should be on Inside Cañada				
4.	Keep annual plan/program review form the same (it				
	works)				
5.	Possibly set a few overall SSPC Goals				

From Administrative Planning Council (APC)

		Completed	Revised	Decided	Did not
				not to do	complete
1.	Develop a general calendar of APC meetings for the				
	year.				
2.	Change hiring timeline to end by February 28				
3.	Allow new hire discussion groups to prioritize				
4.	Change the group leaders to have faculty/staff				
	facilitators				
5.	Add reports from SSPC/IPC/APC/Academic				
	Senate/Classified Senate to the PBC agendas				

From Academic Senate

	Completed	Revised	Decided	Did not
			not to do	complete
1. Perhaps rearrange the agenda, but no real changes	Х			
necessary.				
2. Define what the 'desired level' for participation is—				Х
is it 100% of FT Faculty, 75%, or what?—and				
measure it. This would help to understand how				
much participation is really there, and to set goals				
for the future.				
3. PT Faculty—it might help to have a PT Faculty			Х	
Senate, especially as a way to increase PT Faculty				

Adopted by PBC 4/16/2014 Page **3** of **5**

	awareness of Participatory Governance (that it			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	exists, that it's important, and why it's important to			
	participate), and even change the culture of PT			
	Faculty 'buy-in' of Cañada being a 'home campus'.			
	However, it was noted that it also might not work.			
4.	FT Faculty—need more participation from those who			Х
	don't tend to serve on committees.			
5.	Perhaps a newsletter, such as the Accreditation	Х		
	Newsletter, would be a good idea in order to			
	disseminate information better, and perhaps			
	encourage participation from all sectors.			
6.	Moving the hiring process up is key.	Х		

From Classified Senate

		Completed	Revised	Decided	Did not
				not to do	complete
1.	"Agendize" reports from the other groups similar to				
	what Academic Senate does (e.g. reports from PBC,				
	SSPC, APC, IPC and Academic Senate) so there is				
	more communication; reps would be assigned				
	reports to make				
2.	Identify ways in which Classified Senate can be				
	proactive rather than reactive				
3.	Set goals at the end of the semester for the				
	upcoming year or at the beginning of the semester				
	for the year with a calendar of what is to be done				
	each month				
4.	Work on the "image" of the group as to what they				
	do so others will know (e.g. advocacy, classified				
	voice, etc.)				
5.	Consider sending out the Classified Senate agenda				
	campus-wide				
6.	Have voting for the New Hire Process				
7.	Identify a mix of group leaders for the small group				
	discussions (not all supervisors)				

From the Associated Students

Adopted by PBC 4/16/2014 Page **4** of **5**

	Completed	Revised	Decided	Did not
			not to do	complete
1. Ensure minutes have more details on what occurred				
2. Create program assessment to assess what				
attendees learned at Spirit Thursdays				
3. Post all agendas and minutes on the ASCC/College				
Webpage and repost to social media				
4. Create SLOs for each program, ensuring events have				
more structure and can be evidence based				
5. Set goals at the retreat, and throughout the year so				
that all can participate				
6. Create more advertising/presence of ASCC				
7. Train more efficiently on Participatory Governance				
Manual				
8. Create an orientation to ASCC that can be utilized				
campus-wide (possibly collaborating with				
orientation/ambassadors)				

Attached:

Annual Review of Participatory Governance Spring 2013

College Set Benchmark Spring 2013; Setting Benchmarks; Current Benchmarks

Adopted by PBC 4/16/2014 Page **5** of **5**